

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/739,356	HOU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Justin R. Fischer	1733	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) Justin R. Fischer.

(3) George Grove.

(2) Richard Crispino.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 May 2007

Time: 11:00

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

NA

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Informed Mr. Grove that the arguments presented in the After Final amendment are persuasive and the case will be passed on to issue. Also, the rejections (102e and ODP) in view of Wang (US 6,836,948) were obviated in the response filed April 24, 2006.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)